

## New Sheet

Appl. No. 10/710,337  
Amendment dated 11/17/2008  
Reply to Office Action of 07/15/2008

Table 1: Results of all subjects on initial learning

Subject #	1	2	3	4	5
Sessions to Criterion <sup>1</sup>	14	10	11	11	7
Responses During Stabilization Trials	Moist P/D 215	179	130	201	122
	Non-Reward 9	26	51	3	64
	Dry Chow 16	31	58	5	54
Preference Ratio <sup>2</sup>	.93	.85	.69	.97	.69

<sup>1</sup>Number of daily sessions to pass criterion.

<sup>2</sup>Preference ratios were calculated using the formula: choices of moist PD / (choices of moist PD + choices of dry chow).

Figure 9

New Sheet

TABLE 2: Overview of Initial Learning

Subject	Criterion Sessions	Post Criterion % To No Food	Post Criterion % To Lamb-based Food	Post Criterion % To Chicken-based Food	Preference Ratio
Morpheus	5	3.3	5.8	90.8	.94
Apollo	12	0	3.3	96.7	.97
Monk	4	22.8	30.9	46.3	.60
Celeste	4	2.8	15.6	81.7	.84
Tinkle	16	6.1	2.2	91.7	.98
Vaughn	9	4.3	40.3	55.6	.58
Mean	8.3	6.5	16.4	77.1	.82

Figure 10

New Sheet

TABLE 3: Overview of Reversal Learning

Subject	Criterion Sessions	Post Criterion % To No Food	Post Criterion % To Lamb-based Food	Post Criterion % To Chicken-based Food	Preference Ratio
Morpheus	13	1.7	7.6	90.8	.92
Apollo	10	4.2	1.7	94.2	.98
Monk	25	6.7	3.4	89.9	.96
Celeste	12	3.4	0	96.6	1.0
Tinkle	13	1.7	36.7	61.7	.63
Vaughn	21	6.7	3.3	90	.96
Mean	11.67	4.1	8.8	87.2	.91

Figure 11